

Chapter 8

Normal Random Variables

- 8.1**
- a. $x = 142, \mu = 135, \sigma = 5, z = (142 - 135)/5 = 7/5 = 1.4$
 - b. $x = 135, \mu = 135, \sigma = 5, z = (135 - 135)/5 = 0.0$
 - c. $x = 102, \mu = 135, \sigma = 5, z = (102 - 135)/5 = -32/5 = -6.4$
 - d. $x = 130, \mu = 135, \sigma = 5, z = (130 - 135)/5 = -5/5 = -1.0$
- 8.3**
- a. $z = -1$, so x is 1 σ 's below the mean μ or $x = -50 - 1(6) = -56$
 - b. $z = 0$, so x is the mean or $x = -50$
 - c. $z = 1.2$, so x is 1.2 σ 's above the mean or $x = -50 + 1.2(6) = -42.8$
 - d. $z = -2.5$, so x is -2.5 σ 's above the mean or $x = -50 - 2.5(6) = -65$
 - e. $z = 4.5$, so x is 3 σ 's above the mean or $x = -50 + 4.5(6) = -23$
- 8.5**
- a. Use TI-83, $\text{normalcdf}(0,1.25) = 0.3944$.
Or Table 3, $\Phi(1.25) - \Phi(0) = 0.89435 - 0.5 = 0.39435$
 - b. Use TI-83, $\text{normalcdf}(0.25,1.25) = 0.2956$.
Or Table 3, $\Phi(1.25) - \Phi(0.25) = 0.89435 - 0.59871 = 0.2956$
 - c. Same as part b because of symmetry of normal curve: 0.2956
 - d. Same as part a because of symmetry of normal curve: 0.3944
- 8.7**
- a. $\text{normalcdf}(-99999999,60,52,8) = 0.8413$.
Or $\Phi((60 - 52)/8) = \Phi(1.00) = 0.8413$
 - b. $\text{normalcdf}(52,60,52,8) = 0.3413$.
Or Answer to part a minus 0.50: $0.8413 - 0.50 = 0.3413$.
 - c. $\text{normalcdf}(44,52,52,8) = 0.3413$.
Same as part b by symmetry of normal curve.
 - d. $\text{normalcdf}(46,60,52,8) = 0.6147$.
Or $\Phi((60 - 52)/8) - \Phi((46 - 52)/8) = 0.8413 - 0.2266 = 0.6147$

e. $\text{normalcdf}(60,62,52,8) = 0.0530$.
 Or $\Phi((62 - 52)/8) - \Phi((60 - 52)/8) = 0.8944 - 0.8413 = 0.0531$

f. $\text{normalcdf}(62,99999999,52,8) = 0.1056$.
 Or $1 - \Phi((62 - 52)/8) = 1 - 0.8944 = 0.1056$

8.9 $E(Z) = 0$,

$$E(Z^2) = \text{Var}(Z) + [E(Z)]^2 = 1 + 0 = 1$$

$$E(Z^3) = \int_{-\infty}^0 z^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz + \int_0^{\infty} z^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz .$$

Make the change of variables $y = -z$ in the first integral to show it is the opposite of the second.

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 z^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz = \int_{\infty}^0 y^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz = -\int_0^{\infty} z^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz .$$

Then make the change of variables $x = z^2/2$ in the second integral to show

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^3 \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dz = 2 \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-x} dx = 2 < \infty \text{ Thus } E(Z^3) = 0$$

8.11 $Q_1 = \text{invNorm}(0.25,145,30) = 124.765$.

Or use Table 3 and interpolate to obtain $\Phi(-0.6745) \approx 0.25$.

Then height is 0.6745σ 's below the mean: $x = 145 - 0.6745(30) = 124.765$.

$$Q_3 = \text{invNorm}(0.75,145,30) = 165.235.$$

Or use Table 3 and interpolate to obtain $\Phi(0.6745) \approx 0.75$.

Then height is 0.6745σ 's above the mean: $x = 145 + 0.6745(30) = 165.235$.

$$\text{IQR} = Q_3 - Q_1 = 165.235 - 124.765 = 40.47$$

- 8.13**
- a. $\text{normalcdf}(-9999999, 166, 176, 30) = 0.3694$.
Or $\Phi((166 - 176)/30) = \Phi(-0.0333) = 0.3694$
- b. $\text{normalcdf}(166, 9999999, 176, 30) = 0.6306$.
Or $1 - (\text{answer to part a}) = 1 - 0.3694 = 0.6306$.
- c. $\text{normalcdf}(-9999999, 186, 176, 30) = 0.6306$.
Or same as part b by symmetry of normal curve.
- d. $\text{normalcdf}(220, 9999999, 176, 30) = 0.0712$.
Or $1 - \Phi((220, 176)/30) = 1 - 0.9288 = 0.1712$.
- e. $\text{normalcdf}(260, 9999999, 176, 30) = 0.0026$.
Or $1 - \Phi((260, 176)/30) = 1 - 0.9974 = 0.0026$.

- 8.15**
- $z_{0.25} = -0.6745$, so $x_{0.25} = 167 + (-0.6745)(30) = 146.8$
 $z_{0.90} = +1.2815$, so $x_{0.90} = 167 + (1.2815)(30) = 205.4$
 $z_{0.95} = +1.6449$, so $x_{0.95} = 167 + (1.6449)(30) = 216.3$

- 8.17** $1 - \Pr(64 < X < 78) = 1 - \Pr(-2 < Z < 3.6) = 1 - 0.9771 = 0.0229 = 2.29\%$

- 8.19** Let X be the ACT test scores and let Y be the SAT test scores.
 $\Pr(Y < 700) = \Pr(Z < (700 - 500)/100) = \Pr(Z < 2) = 0.9773$
 $\Pr(X < 24) = \Pr(Z < (24 - 18)/6) = \Pr(Z < 1) = 0.8413$
 Aras is in the 97th percentile and Rimas is in the 84th percentile.
 Thus, Aras did better.

- 8.21**
- a. $\Pr(\text{IQ} = 101) = \Pr(100.5 < \text{IQ} < 101.5) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{101.5 - 100}{15}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{100.5 - 100}{15}\right) = 0.0265$
- b. $\Pr(\text{IQ} > 130) = \Pr(\text{IQ} > 130.5) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{130.5 - 100}{15}\right) = 1 - \Phi(2.0333) = 0.0210$
- c. $\Pr(\text{IQ} \geq 130) = \Pr(\text{IQ} > 129.5) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{129.5 - 100}{15}\right) = 1 - \Phi(1.9667) = 0.0246$
- d. $\Pr(98 \leq \text{IQ} \leq 102) = \Pr(97.5 < \text{IQ} < 102.5) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{102.5 - 100}{15}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{97.5 - 100}{15}\right) = 0.1324$

8.23 $\Pr(K = 50) = \Pr(49.5 < K < 50.5) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{50.5 - 50}{.5}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{49.5 - 50}{.5}\right) = \Phi(0.1) - \Phi(-0.1) = 0.07966$

8.25 The event is $\{8 \leq K \leq 10\} = \{8,9,10\}$. The opposite event is $\{0,1,\dots,7\} \cup \{11,12,\dots,15\}$. Go halfway: the continuity correction is

$$\Pr\{8 \leq K \leq 10\} \approx \Pr(7.5 < K < 10.5). \quad \mu_K = n\pi = 7.5, \quad \sigma_K = \sqrt{n\pi(1-\pi)} = 1.93649.$$

$$\Pr(7.5 < K < 10.5) \approx \Pr((7.5 - 7.5)/1.93649 < Z < (10.5 - 7.5)/1.93649) = \Phi(1.291) - 0.5000 = 0.4016.$$

Compare this with the exact probability:

$$\text{binomcdf}(15,0.50,10) - \text{binomcdf}(15,0.50,7) = 0.9408 - 0.5000 = 0.4408.$$

8.27 a. $\Pr(\text{Boys} \geq 54) = \Pr(\text{Boys} > 53.5) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{53.5 - 50}{.5}\right) = 1 - \Phi(.7) = 0.24196$

b. $\Pr(\text{Boys} > 54) = \Pr(\text{Boys} > 54.5) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{54.5 - 50}{.5}\right) = 1 - \Phi(.9) = 0.18406$

8.29 a. $\Pr(K \leq 11) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(K < 11.5) \approx (\text{n.a.}) \Pr(Z < (11.5 - (40)(0.395))/\sqrt{(40)(.395)(.605)}) = \Pr(Z < -1.39) = 0.0821$

b. $\Pr(K \leq 11) = 1 - \text{binomcdf}(40,0.395,11) = 0.0800$

c. The normal approximation is recommended: $n\pi = 15.8$ and $n(1 - \pi) = 24.2$ are at least 5.

8.31 $\text{invNorm}(0.05) = -1.645,$ so $\text{IQ}_{.05} = 100 - 1.645(15) = 75.3.$
 $\text{invNorm}(0.25) = -0.6745,$ so $\text{IQ}_{.25} = 100 - 0.6745(15) = 89.9.$
 $\text{invNorm}(0.75) = 0.6745,$ so $\text{IQ}_{.75} = 100 + 0.6745(15) = 110.1.$
 $\text{invNorm}(0.95) = 1.645,$ so $\text{IQ}_{.95} = 100 + 1.645(15) = 124.7.$

8.33 a. $\Pr(\text{In excess of 3.3 minutes}) = \Pr(X > 3.3) = 1 - \Pr(X \leq 3.3) = 1 - \Phi(1.5) = 1 - 0.9332 = 0.0668$

b. $\Pr(2.9 < X < 3.1) = \Phi(0.5) - \Phi(-0.5) = 0.3829$

c. $\text{invNorm}(0.01) = -2.32635$. So $x = 3 - 2.32635(0.2) = 2.5347$

8.35 a. 99th percentile score is $\text{invNorm}(0.99, 100, 15) = 135$

b. $\Pr(\text{IQ} > 120) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(\text{IQ} > 120.5) = \text{normalcdf}(120.5, 99999999, 100, 15) = 0.0859$

c. $\Pr(\text{All have IQ over 120}) = (0.0859)^4 = 0.00005$

d. $\Pr(\text{At least one has IQ over 120}) = 1 - \Pr(\text{Nobody has IQ over 120}) = 1 - (1 - 0.0859)^4 = 0.3017$

e. $\Pr(\text{Three of the four will have IQ over 120}) = \binom{4}{3} (0.0859)^3 (1 - 0.0859)^1 = 0.0023$

8.37 a. Here $\mu = 16.15$, $\sigma = 0.05$ ounces. $\Pr(X > 16.2) = 0.1587$

b. Here $\mu = 16.05$, $\sigma = 0.05$ ounces. $\Pr(X < 16) = 0.1587$

c. The 5th percentile is $z = \text{invNorm}(0.05) = -1.645$. Solve $(11.9 - \mu)/0.1 = -1.645$.
Solution: the machine should be set so that μ is at least 16.0822 ounces.

8.39 $\Pr(X > 60 | \mu = 45, \sigma = 10) = \Pr\left(Z > \frac{60 - 45}{10}\right) \approx 1 - \Phi(1.5) \approx 6.68\%$

8.41 $\mu = np = 1000(0.15) = 150$, $\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{1000(.15)(.85)} = 11.29$

a. $\Pr(K < 120) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(K < 119.5) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{119.5 - 150}{11.29}\right) = 0.0035$

b. $z = \text{invNorm}(0.90) = 1.282$ so $k = 150 + 1.282(11.29) = 164.5$ claims

8.43 Let K be the number who voted for the incumbent. Since $n = 120$, we have
 $\mu = n\pi = 120(.56) = 67.2$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{n\pi(1 - \pi)} = \sqrt{(120)(.56)(.44)} = 5.44$.
 $\Pr(K < 60) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(K < 59.5) \approx (\text{n.a.}) \Pr(Z < (59.5 - 67.2)/5.44) = \Phi(-1.416) = 0.0784$.
 So there is a 7.838% probability that the exit poll incorrectly predicts the winner.

8.45 Let K be the number of aces in 120 rolls of a die.
 $n = 120$, $\mu = n\pi = 120(1/6) = 20$, and $\sigma = \sqrt{n\pi(1 - \pi)} = \sqrt{(120)(1/6)(5/6)} = 4.08$.
 $\Pr(K = 24) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(23.5 < K < 24.5) \approx (\text{n.a.}) \Pr((23.5 - 20)/4.08 < Z < (24.5 - 20)/4.08) =$
 $\Pr(0.857 < Z < 1.102) = \Phi(1.102) - \Phi(.857) = 0.0605$

8.47 Let X be the length of this section of the wall.

a. $E(X) = 12 \text{ inches/brick} * 100 \text{ bricks} = 1200 \text{ inches} = 100 \text{ feet}$

b. $SD(X) = \sqrt{100} * .25 = 2.5 \text{ inches}$

c. $\Pr(X > 1200 + 5 \text{ inches}) = P(Z > 5/2.5) = 1 - \Phi(2) = 0.0228$

d. No. By the Central Limit Theorem, for large samples, the sum of the lengths of the bricks follows the normal curve, even if the individual lengths do not.

8.49 Let K be the number of people who voted Yes. $n = 900$, $\mu = n\pi = 900 * .56 = 504$, and
 $\sigma = \sqrt{n\pi(1 - \pi)} = \sqrt{(900)(.56)(.44)} = 14.89$. $\Pr(K \geq 573) = (\text{c.c.}) \Pr(K > 572.5) = (\text{n.a.})$
 $1 - \Phi((572.5 - 504)/14.89) = 0.00000212$. A lot of the people were not telling the truth.